

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 ROBERT JOSEPH MCCARTY,

2:11-CV-1538 JCM (RJJ)

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOHN V. ROOS, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

14 **ORDER**

15 Presently before the court is state defendants Pat Saunders and Char Hoerth's first motion
16 for enlargement of time. (Doc. # 106). State defendants seek a 30-day extension to file a reply to
17 plaintiff Robert Joseph McCarty's response to their motion to dismiss. (Doc. # 106, 4:4-7).

18 State defendant seek the extension pursuant Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). (Doc. # 106, 3). State
19 defendants represent that because pro se plaintiff's response appears to allege new constitutional
20 violations and makes "other wild accusations," (doc. # 106, 3:22), more time is needed to properly
21 reply in light of counsel's workload commitments.

22 Good cause appearing,

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that state defendants' motion
24 for enlargement of time (doc. # 106) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...
28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents shall have up to, and including, December
2 3, 2012, in which file their reply.

3 DATED November 5, 2012.

4
5 
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE